Real Estate

ESG Evaluation Key Sustainability Factors

Submit Your FeedbackOnline | Email

ANALYTICAL CONTACTS

Corinne Bendersky

New York +44-20-7176-0216 corinne.bendersky @spglobal.com

Henrik Cotran

San Francisco +1-415-371-5018 henrik.cotran @spglobal.com

Manish Kejriwal

Dublin +35-30-1568-0609 manish.kejriwal @spglobal.com



Approach

Our key sustainability factors identify the most material environmental and social risks assessed in our ESG Evaluation. We assess the materiality¹ of those risks across the industry's value chain and reflect them in the weighting of our environmental and social factors. We also provide the quantitative indicators² used to assess a company's performance relative to its industry peers on each of those factors. For further information, please refer to our "Environmental, Social, And Governance Evaluation: Analytical Approach."

Scope

The scope of this article includes real estate operating companies (operators, including REITs) and real estate developers. Real estate developers develop and sell single- and multi-family residential or commercial properties. Developers either construct buildings themselves or contract out these activities. Operators majority-own a portfolio of real estate properties and derive a substantial majority of their EBITDA from property rental incomes.

Material Environmental Risks

Real estate companies are exposed to material environmental risks across their value chain:

- Environmental impact during building use phase: Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, waste and pollution, and land and water use during the life of the building expose real estate operators to regulatory, operational, and reputational risks.
- Environmental impact of construction: Building construction is energy-intensive and generates large quantities of waste. It can also be very land-intensive and may impact biodiversity, especially where development is on greenfield sites.
- Environmental impact in the supply chain: Property developers who perform construction
 activities and operators who maintain buildings source raw materials from the construction
 materials, forestry, and chemicals value chains, which can have significant land, water,
 emissions, and pollution effects.
- Physical climate risks: The sector is inherently highly exposed to physical climate risks given that it derives value from physical assets in fixed locations. Physical climate events can result in damage to assets, thereby diminishing their value, increasing repair costs, and causing the relocation of tenants (as well as reputational damage for companies). Climate change will likely exacerbate these risks.

Environmental Factors: Weighting And KPIs

The weighting of our environmental factors varies by subsector. We also use different quantitative performance indicators to inform our opinion of an entity's management of its environmental impact relative to peers in the same subsector. Our opinion under our ESG Evaluation is also informed by an entity's qualitative indicators such as its green building strategy.

Factor	Property developers	Property operators
Greenhouse gas emissions	30%	40%
	30%	20%
Waste and pollution		
° ⊈∥ o Water	10%	25%
water		
⊛	30%	15%
Land use and biodiversity		

Property Developers

The higher weighting of land use and biodiversity reflects our view that greenfield development and the associated impact on local biodiversity is among the industry's primary environmental impacts. The weighting on GHG emissions primarily reflects high energy consumption over the lifetime of buildings and the embodied emissions from building materials. We apply the same weighting to waste and pollution to capture externalities including air emissions and hazardous and nonhazardous waste generated during construction and the building-use phase.

Factor	Weight	Key performance indicators	Other performance indicators
€ Land use and biodiversity	30%	 % of projects in areas with a protection or conservation status Number and duration of project delays related to biodiversity impacts 	% of projects in areas with threatened, vulnerable, endangered, and critically endangered species - Proportion of suppliers assessed and audited on their biodiversity performance
Greenhouse gas emissions	30%	 Scope 1 emissions intensity (tonnes [t] of carbon dioxide equivalent [tCO2e], by floor area in cubic meters [m3] or revenue in US\$) Scope 2 emissions intensity (tCO2e, by floor area in m3 or revenue in US\$) Energy intensity (by floor area in m3 or revenue in US\$) 	 Renewable energy in energy mix (% total energy used) % of projects meeting green building standards
Waste and pollution	30%	 Total waste (t of waste, by floor area in m3 or revenue in US\$) % of construction waste that is recycled / reused / recovered 	- % of recycled raw materials sourced for projects
∰ o Water	10%	 Water use intensity (m3 by floor area in m3 or revenue in US\$) % of revenue from sites operating in water-stressed areas (%) 	- % of water that is recycled

Property Operators

Property operators' most material environmental exposure is GHGs and energy use occurring over the life of the building. Water use is slightly more material than waste & pollution as water stress can exacerbate impacts from tenant consumption, including industrial properties. We view landuse & biodiversity to be less material as most operators' greenfield expansion is limited.

Factor	Weight	Key performance indicators	Other performance indicators
Greenhouse gas emissions	40%	 Scope 3 emissions intensity (tCO2e, by floor area in m3 or revenue in US\$) Scope 2 emissions intensity (tCO2e, by floor area in m3 or revenue in US\$) Energy intensity (MWh by floor area in m3 or revenue in US\$) 	 Scope 1 emissions intensity (tCO2e, by floor area in m3 or revenue in US\$) % of portfolio that has an energy rating Renewable energy in energy mix (% total energy used) % of portfolio certified by green certifications
S S Water	25%	 Water use intensity (floor area in m3 or revenue in US\$) % of assets operating in water-stressed areas (%) 	- % of water that is recycled
Waste and pollution	20%	Total waste (t of waste, by floor area in m3 or revenue in US\$)% of waste that is recycled/reused/recovered	
⊛₩ ₩ Land use and biodiversity	15%	 % of assets in areas with a protection or conservation status % of production or assets from areas with threatened, vulnerable, endangered, and critically endangered species 	 Proportion of suppliers assessed and audited on their biodiversity performance

Material Social Risks

Real estate companies are exposed to material social risks across their value chain:

- Customer and community engagement: Maintaining positive relations with local
 communities and customers is a significant factor for both real estate developers and
 operators. Issues such as affordable housing, gentrification, and green buildings are critical
 when navigating changing consumer preferences, supporting brand value, and maintaining
 high levels of customer satisfaction and retention.
- Workforce and building safety: Building construction entails significant workplace safety risks as well as potential workplace and building safety issues during the lifetime of the property, which can result in substantial reputational and financial costs.

Social Factors: Weighting And KPIs

The weighting of our social factors varies by subsector. We use similar indicators across the subsectors to inform our opinion of an entity's management of its social impacts relative to peers in the same subsector, although some may vary. Our opinion under our ESG Evaluation is also informed by qualitative indicators. Examples of qualitative indicators include the quality and effectiveness of an entity's policy on community engagement.

Factor	Property developers	Property operators
ůů ľůů	20%	10%
Workforce and diversity		
\ \ \ \ \ \ \	40%	20%
Safety management		
	20%	40%
Customer engagement		
	20%	30%
Communities		

Property Developers

For property developers we place the highest weight on safety management to reflect significant workplace safety risks and building safety. We apply equal weight to the remaining factors to capture the impacts of maintaining a skilled and diverse workforce in a high-turnover industry, mitigating effects on existing communities during property development, and ensuring high customer satisfaction.

Factor	Weight	Key performance indicators	Other performance indicators
\= \	40%	 Number of fatalities per millions of hours worked LTIFR (lost time injury frequency rate) 	 TRIFR (total recordable injury frequency rate) Amount of safety-related legal and regulatory fines and settlements
Safety management			
MM Workforce and diversity	20%	 Voluntary/involuntary turnover rate (%) % of woman in total workforce, junior and senior management positions, and in revenue-generating functions Average amount spent per full-time-equivalent (FTE) employee on training and development 	 Gender pay gap % of employees represented by an independent trade union or covered by collective bargaining agreements

Factor	Weight	Key performance indicators	Other performance indicators
	20%	- % satisfied customers (out of total customers responding to company's survey) - Net promoter score	- R&D spending as % of revenue
Customer engagement		•	
Communities	20%	 % of employees from local communities Number and cost of project delays and cancellations as a result of community opposition 	 Amount of cash contributions, employee volunteering, and in-kind giving converted into the reporting currency

Property Operators

Customer engagement and communities carry the highest weighting for property operators to reflect the importance of maintaining positive relations with local communities, who often form the primary customer base. Tenant retention is an important financial driver, while community impacts such as affordable housing and gentrification are increasingly being factored into housing regulation and can have reputational implications for operators.

Factor	Weight	Key performance indicators	Other performance indicators
Customer engagement	40%	 Customer retention rate (%) Vacancy rate (%) % satisfied customers (out of total customers responding to company's survey) 	Number of data privacy breaches and number of customers affectedNet promoter score
Communities	30%	 Amount of cash contributions, employee volunteering, and in-kind giving converted in reporting currency % of employees from local communities 	
✓=	20%	- LTIFR (lost time injury frequency rate)	TRIFR (total recordable injury frequency rate)Number of building safety-related notices
Workforce and diversity	10%	 Voluntary/involuntary turnover rate (%) % of woman in total workforce, junior and senior management positions, and in revenue-generatin functions 	 Gender pay gap Average amount spent per full-time-equivalent g (FTE) employee on training and development

Submit Feedback

You can submit your feedback online or by email.

Please specify which sector you are commenting on when submitting feedback.

We would particularly like to hear from you regarding:

- 1. Which risks are missing or not relevant?
- 2. Which KPIs are missing, could be enhanced, or are not relevant?
- 3. What views do you have on the suggested factor weights for the environmental and social analysis?
- 4. Do you have additional feedback(s) on this document?

Endnotes

¹ Events and issues are material for the ESG Evaluation when in our view they could meaningfully affect the entity's business operations, cash flows, legal or regulatory liabilities, access to capital, reputation, or relationships with key stakeholders and society more generally, either directly or through its value chain (upstream or downstream).

Related Research

- "The ESG Risk Atlas: Sector And Regional Rationales And Scores," published July 22, 2020
- "Our Updated ESG Risk Atlas And Key Sustainability Factors: A Companion Guide," published July 22, 2020
- <u>"Environmental, Social, And Governance Evaluation: Analytical Approach,"</u> published Dec 15, 2020
- "How We Apply Our ESG Evaluation Analytical Approach: Part 2," published June 17, 2020
- "ESG Evaluation LINK Real Estate," published January 26, 2021

Analytical Contacts

Corinne Bendersky

Sustainable Finance New York +44-20-7176-0216 corinne.bendersky @spglobal.com

Florence Devevey

Sustainable Finance Paris +33-1-4075-2501 florence.devevey @spglobal.com

Paul Munday

Sustainable Finance London +44-20-7176-0511 paul.munday @spglobal.com

Henrik Cotran

Sustainable Finance San Francisco +1-415-371-5018 henrik.cotran @spglobal.com

Michael Ferguson

Sustainable Finance New York +1-212-438-7670 michael.ferguson @spglobal.com

Patrice Cochelin

Sustainable Finance Paris +33-01-4420-7325 patrice.cochelin @spglobal.com

Manish Kejriwal

Corporate Ratings Dublin +35-30-1568-0609 manish.kejriwal @spglobal.com

Anna LiubachynaSustainable Finance

London +44-20-7176-0494 anna.liubachyna @spglobal.com

Bernard de Longevialle

Sustainable Finance Paris +33-1-40-75-25-17 bernard.delongevialle @spglobal.com

Ana Lai

Corporate Ratings New York +1-212-438-6895 ana.lai @spglobal.com

Noemie de la Gorce

Sustainable Finance London +44-20-7176-9836 noemie.delagorce @spglobal.com

Hans Wright

Sustainable Finance London +44-20-7176-7015 hans.wright @spglobal.com

² We are mindful that some may be produced using different methodologies and scopes.

Copyright © 2021 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.