SE4All Building Efficiency Accelerator webinar Sustainable Procurement Principles for Building Efficiency

> 19 July 2016 pekka.huovila@figbc.fi

- 1. sustainable procurement
- 2. innovation in the supply chain
- 3. managing performance and value
- 4. procurement examples
- 5. discussion



Eco-Viikki, Finland







Kigali, Rwanda

Masdar, UAE

- Sustainable Procurement is a process whereby organisations meet their needs for goods, services, works and utilities in a way that achieves
- value for money on a whole life basis in terms of generating benefits not only to the organisation, but also to society and the economy, whilst
- minimising damage to the environment [UNEP]



Eco-Viikki, Finland



Mwanza, Tanzania





Kigali, Rwanda

Masdar, UAE

- sustainable development of buildings and other construction works brings about
- the required performance and functionality with minimum adverse environmental impact while
- encouraging improvements in economic and social (and cultural) aspects at local, regional and global levels

Iong service life: adaptability in use, operation and



Eco-Viikki, Finland



Mwanza, Tanzania





Kigali, Rwanda

Masdar, UAE

Pekka Huovila, 19 July 2016

Eco-efficiency



Project Delivery Systems

- Client Driven (DBB, CM) prescriptive
 - client defines the solutions and lowest bid wins
 - client is in charge of maintenance
 - little space for innovation in the supply chain
- Supplier Supported (DB, DBO) performance based
 - client describes the performance but not solutions
 - contractor is in charge of design and maintenance
 - DBOF: suppliers organize also finance





Project Delivery Systems

- Collaborative (AC, IPD)
 - shared responsibilities and risks
 - early involvement of key partners
 - often linked with Lean construction principles and building information modelling (BIMs)
- many variations exist (PPP)



- Setting requirements
 - document the performance target
- Choosing the contractual mode
 - define your financing strategy
- Selecting the team
- Managing the Performance
 - certification schemes
 - core indicators
 - examples
- Monitoring and Continuous Improvement



Certification



CHANGXING, CHINA Bruck Passive House

DGNB Certificate in Gold New Residential Buildings Certified by: DGNB

NO. 2 SILO – V&A WATERFRONT

No. 2 Silo, Clocktower, V&A Waterfront, Cape Town, SA



4 Star Green Star SA -Multi Unit Residential v1 Design Rating Achieved in July 2013

No. 2 Silo is a high-end residential development, part of the re-development of the Silo area at the V&A Waterfront. There are two distinct buildings linked together. No. 1 Silo is office space, and No. 2 Silo is the residential building. These two buildings stand side by side and are separated by the lift and stair core of No. 2 Silo. No. 2 Silo has 1 level of retail, plus 7 levels of residential above the basement. The design of the building optimises views of both the Silo building and the harbour.

MECHANICAL ENGINEERS

QUANTITY SURVEYORS

STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS

SUSTAINABLE BUILDING

Arun

MLC

Arup

Sutherland

CONSULTANTS

Sustainable building features include:

- All dwellings have been fitted with lowflow fittings, water efficient washing machines and dishwashers.
- High performance glazing enables good views, while minimising solar gain and optimising natural daylight. External, controllable shutters are provided.
- Low-VOC carpets, paints, adhesives and sealants have been specified. Timber products have low formaldehyde content.
- The hot water for No. 2 Silo is supplied from a communal system comprised of solar water heating panels, with a heat pump back up.

SUSTAINABLE DESIGN

REVIEW CONSULTANTS

MAIN CONTRACTORS

PROJECT MANAGERS

WET SERVICES

Arup

Arup

WBHO

Mace

TOTAL POINTS: ΛP POINTS MANAGEMENT ALLOCATION: INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ENERGY TRANSPORT WATER MATERIALS LAND USE AND ECOLOGY EMISSIONS INNOVATIONS

PROJECT FLOOR AREAS: TOTAL GROSS FLOOR AREA (GFA): 5 084 m² TOTAL COMMERCIAL 3 112 m² OFFICE AREA CAR PARKING 1 792 m² AREA:

ApplicantChangxing LandseaArchitectPeter Ruge ArchitektYear of completion2014Year of certification2015Gross floor area2445 m²DGNB Auditorvan der Elst, JosephProject evaluation80,5 %Ecological quality81,4%Economic quality83,1 %Sociocultural and functional quality81,1 %Process quality64,5 %		
ArchitectPeter Ruge ArchitektYear of completion2014Year of certification2015Gross floor area2445 m²DGNB Auditorvan der Elst, JosephProject evaluation80,5 %Ecological quality81,4%Economic quality83,1 %Sociocultural and functional quality81,1 %Technical quality83,5 %Process quality64,5 %	Client	Changxing Landsea
Year of completion2014Year of certification2015Gross floor area2445 m²DGNB Auditorvan der Elst, JosephProject evaluation80,5 %Ecological quality81,4 %Economic quality83,1 %Sociocultural and functional quality81,1 %Technical quality83,5 %Process quality64,5 %	Applicant	Changxing Landsea
Year of certification2015Gross floor area2445 m²DGNB Auditorvan der Elst, JosephProject evaluation80,5 %Ecological quality81,4%Economic quality83,1 %Sociocultural and functional quality81,1 %Technical quality83,5 %Process quality64,5 %	Architect	Peter Ruge Architekte
Gross floor area2445 m²DGNB Auditorvan der Elst, JosephProject evaluation80,5 %Ecological quality81,4%Economic quality83,1 %Sociocultural and functional quality81,1 %Technical quality83,5 %Process quality64,5 %	Year of completion	2014
DGNB Auditorvan der Elst, JosephProject evaluation80,5 %Ecological quality81,4%Economic quality83,1 %Sociocultural and functional quality81,1 %Technical quality83,5 %Process quality64,5 %	Year of certification	2015
Project evaluation80,5 %Ecological quality81,4%Economic quality83,1 %Sociocultural and functional quality81,1 %Technical quality83,5 %Process quality64,5 %	Gross floor area	2445 m²
Ecological quality81,4%Economic quality83,1 %Sociocultural and functional quality81,1 %Technical quality83,5 %Process quality64,5 %	DGNB Auditor	van der Elst, Joseph
Economic quality83,1 %Sociocultural and functional quality81,1 %Technical quality83,5 %Process quality64,5 %	Project evaluation	80,5 %
Sociocultural and functional quality81,1 %Technical quality83,5 %Process quality64,5 %	Ecological quality	81,4%
Technical quality83,5 %Process quality64,5 %	Economic quality	83,1 %
Process quality 64,5 %	Sociocultural and functional quality	81,1 %
	Technical quality	83,5 %
Site evaluation 35,0 %	Process quality	64,5 %
	Site evaluation	35,0 %

PROJECT TEAM:

OWN

OWNER
V&A Waterfront
ARCHITECTS VDMMA/ Rick Brown Associates
ELECTRICAL ENGINEERS Solution Station

FIRE ENIGINEERS Arup

LIFT SPECIALISTS Solution Station







RATING TOOL **GNSH** SUSTAINABLE HOUSING















Use of Core Indicators

	Life cycle environmental performance macro-objectives for buildings				
	indicator	unit	scope		
1			GAS EMISSIONS FROM BUILDING LIFE CYCLE ENERGY USE		
1.1	L Operational energy consumption				
	Total primary energy consumption	kWh/m².yr	Calculation according to the scope of EN 15603 of the minimum EPBD regulated energy consumption scope – with heating (b) and cooling (c) consumption also identified separately		
1.2	2 Life cycle Global Warming Potential		Calculation for the following life cycle stages according to EN 15978:		
	Operational and embodied Global Warming Potential	kg CO2eq/m2 .yr	 A1-3 Production B6 Operational energy use C3-4 End of life 		
2		I	RESOURCE EFFICIENT MATERIAL LIFE CYCLES		
2.1	Full LCA				
	Cradle to grave LCA	Impact category results normalised to m	2 Cradle to gate LCA according to EN 15978 and with an expanded list of impact categories (to be specified)		
2.2	Building, element a	nd component service life			
	Service life reporting	Design service life of the building and specified building elements and components	Inventory of service lives for specified major building elements and components		
2.3	Design for deconstruction and recyclability		Rating of the disassembly potential and recyclability of three main building aspects:		
	Ease and scope for disassembly and recycling	Sum of category scores	 Building services Non-load bearing components of the building shell Load-bearing components of the building shell 		
2.4	Construction and de	emolition waste minimisation			
	Waste arisings a. Demolition b. Construction	For each: i. Tonnes per 100m ² floor area ii. % diversion to recycling and re-use (excluding backfilling)	Reporting on total waste arisings and diversion rates from demolition sites (excluding excavations) and, following on from that, the construction site		
3			EFFICIENT USE OF WATER RESOURCES		
3.1	3.1 Operational water consumption				
	Total mains drinking water consumption (during use stage)	Residential and office buildings m3 per person per year	Cradle to gate LCA according to EN 15978 and with an expanded list of impact categories (to be specified)		

	Quality, performance and value macro-objectives for buildings			
	indicator	unit	scope	
4			HEALTHY AND COMFORTABLE SPACES	
4.1	Indoor air quality Reporting on specific pollutant levels and the presence of hazards	Quantitative reporting: ppm, µg/m3 and R-Value Qualitative reporting: Damp/mould inspection classification	Quantitative reporting: - CO2 - Total VOCs - Carcinogenic VOCs - R-Value - Formaldehyde - Benzene - Particulates (PM 2,5/10) Qualitative reporting: - Presence of mould	
5			RESILIENCE TO CLIMATE CHANGE	
5.1	Thermal comfort (to	o include within indicator 4)		
	Overheating risk assessment	(adaptive) degree hours	Variance in degree hours over baseline temperature in 2030s and 2050s compared to the present weather file	
5.2a	Additional cooling of	lemand (reported alongside indicator	1.1)	
	Additional cooling primary energy consumption	kWh/m2	Calculated additional cooling energy in 2030s and 2050s compared to the present weather file in order to maintain a defined interior temperature	
5.2b	Microclimate coolin	g benefit Proxy indicator (where 5.2a	is not feasible)	
	Green factor	Sum of weighted cooling effect for green features on/around the building	A set of weightings would be used to favour spaces around, within and on the building that have deep soil, semi-mature trees and have the potential to have a significant Leaf Area Index by 2030/2050	
6			OPTIMISED LIFE CYCLE COST AND VALUE	
6.1	Life Cycle Costing		Real energy and water costs with sensitivities applied.	
	a. Long-term utility costs	€ per year normalised per m² over 30 years (offices and individual houses) and 50 years (apartment blocks)	Greater certainty will be attributed to dynamic energy simulations, renovations based on detailed building surveys and quality assurance actions (see B1) B1 – B7: Use stage	
	b. Long-term acquisition and maintenance costs	€ per year normalised per m² over 30 years (offices and individual houses) and 50 years (apartment blocks)	Outline cost plan for 30 year service life and inclusive of initial capital costs. The plan to be split into routine, cyclical and major repair schedules. A fixed minimum list of building elements to be specified for reporting. Scope of life cycle stages: A5: Construction stage (capital/acquisition costs for the asset) B1-B7: Use stage - Maintenance - Repair - Replacement	
6.2	Creating value and r	managing risk	Step 1	
	Value and risk factors	Reliability rating for the input data and assumptions for each indicator	Identify those common framework indicators that are referred to in the TEGoVA valuation factors and which have been incorporated into the building's appraisal or risk rating, indicatively to include: 1.1/3.1/6.1 Operating costs (energy and water) 2.2 Building element/component lifespans 4.1 Indoor air quality 5.1/5.2 Present and future thermal comfort conditions and additional cooling requirements 6.2 Long term maintenance costs Step 2 Carry out a simplified rating of the data and assumptions used for each of the identified common framework indicators. An aggregation step could be added in order to give a headline rating	

Energy Performance Contracting

EPC for social housing, Emilia Romagna, Italy

- the pilot site consisted of 13 public dwellings built in 1981 in a quarter that needed complete renovation to improve both comfort and energy efficiency
- the EPC was awarded to an ESCo (Energy Services Company) through the competitive dialogue process
- the final 12 year contract included a guarantee of 35 % energy savings per year, providing an immediate 7 % reduction ion tenants annual energy bill

Energy Performance Contracting

Bundling buildings for EPCs in Berlin, Germany

- different buildings are bundled into so called 'building pools', each covering different types and standards, more than 1.300 buildings covered in total
- the savings achieved vary across pools from 15 % to 35 %
- the contracts are awarded through a negotiated procedure
- the contractors are responsible for the cost of refurbishment, operations, maintenance, inspection, systems management and continuous optimization

Demanding Client and Innovative Approach

Passive social housing in southwest Finland

- ambitious objectives to meet Finnish Passive House criteria
- two procurement clinics held for the potential constructors and technology suppliers
- open, interactive workshops to facilitate market dialogue between potential service providers, consultants and investors
- the clinics also included a customer satisfaction survey
- the future inhabitants are trained in energy saving measures

Demanding Client and Innovative Approach

Advice service for municipalities in Voralberg, Austria

- all 96 member communities wanting to renovate or build energy-efficient or eco-friendly are supported by experts through the whole planning, tendering and building process
- four modules: preplanning, planning and tendering, control and execution, control of success
- energy savings of around 70 % and reduction of indoor air emissions of 90 % have been achieved

Sustainable Building Procurement Process

Discussion

- Set sustainability targets and document them
- Define financing strategy, choose the contractual mode
- Select the supply team
- Assess the conformity throughout the process
- Monitor, Report and Verify continuous improvement



Eco-Viikki, Finland



Mwanza, Tanzania





Kigali, Rwanda

Masdar, UAE