

CHRISTIAN MAHLER (WORLD BANK)

Tool for Rapid Assessment of City Energy (TRACE)

Supporting cities in tapping their energy efficiency potentials



Agenda

- INTRODUCTION TO TRACE
- EXPERIENCE FROM UKRAINE, BRAZIL, INDIA AND KYRGYZ REPUBLIC

TRACE – Tool for Rapid Assessment of City Energy

A practical tool for conducting rapid assessment of energy use in cities, that identifies and prioritizes sectors and suggests specific energy efficiency interventions...

Sector coverage: transport, buildings (new: residential and commercial buildings), public lighting, water & wastewater, power & heating, solid waste, industry (new)




What's new?


The update takes stock of the lessons learnt from over 60 TRACE deployments worldwide

- **Three new sectors:** residential and commercial buildings as well as urban industry
- About **100 built-in recommendations**
- Offers full spectrum of guidelines and case studies to **deliver EE interventions**, such as PPP, leasing, municipal financing, ESCO financing
- Upon determining sector recommendations TRACE allows financial analysis through built-in **intervention models** (calculators)
- Updated data for **97 cities** worldwide

TRACE architecture





1. On-site data collection



1. Enter City KPI's and Data

Please provide details about your city in the Categories shown on the left.





Show All

DETAILS

CONTEXT

PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION

PRIVATE VEHICLES

MUNICIPAL BUILDINGS

COMMERCIAL BUILDINGS

RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS

STREET LIGHTING

POWER

INDUSTRY

POTABLE WATER

WASTEWATER


SOLID WASTE

KPI	Value	Units	Year	Source	Pro
City Name	Houston				
City Mayor	Susan George				
Assessor's Name	Pedzi				
City Full Name	Houston, TX, USA			Google Maps	
Country	USA			Google Maps	
City Latitude	30			Google Maps	
City Longitude	-95			Google Maps	
City Latitude and Longitude	29.7604267, -95.3698028			Google Maps	
Population Within Municipal Boundary	5,000,000		2,011		No
GDP	200,000,000	\$	2,011		No
Climate Type			2,012		No
Solar Region	Tropical				
City Annual Heating Degree Days					
City Annual Cooling Degree Days	1				
HDI (by Country)	1		2,011		No
Primary Electricity Consumption	45,000,000,000	kWhe	2,011		No
Typical Electricity Costs	0	\$ kWh	2,011		No
Primary Energy Consumption	5,119,979	GJ/capita	2,011		No
Primary Electricity Consumption per Capita	9,000	kWhe/capita	2,011	National Database	No
Primary Electricity Consumption per GDP	225	kWhe/\$GDP	2011		No
Average Purchased Electricity Cost	0	\$/kWh			No
Primary Energy Consumption per Capita	1	GJ/capita	2011		No
Primary Energy Consumption per GDP	26	MJ/\$GDP	2011	Note inconsistent in Source Data	No
Total Transportation Energy	37,848,197	GJ	2011	BHTrans and ANP	No
Total Transportation Energy Use Per Capita	7,570	MJ/capita	2011	BHTrans and ANP	No
Public Transport Energy Consumption	0	MJ/passenger km	2011	BHTrans	No
Metres of High Capacity Transit	9,166,792	metres	2011	BHTrans	No
Metres of High Capacity Transit per 1000 People	46	metres/1000 people	2012	BHTrans	No
Transportation Non-Motorized Mode Split	0	%	2011	BHTrans	No
Public Transportation Mode Split	0	%	2011	BHTrans	No
Private Transport Energy Consumption	3	MJ/passenger km	2011	ANP	No
Municipal Buildings Electricity Consumption	59	kWhe/m2	2012	SMAGEA (administrative secretariat)	No
Municipal Buildings Energy Spend as a % of Municipal B	0	%			No
Municipal Buildings Heat Consumption		kWh/m2			No

Welcome Admin Resources MyCity Sectors SectorSavingsEstimate SectorPrioritization RecommendationAppraisal Recommendation ...


2. Benchmarking

Selection of 10-12 peer cities with a similar climate and level of development gives an idea of the relative potential for energy efficiency



2. Sector Savings Estimates

Choose comparable Cities to your City to estimate the energy saving potential in each Sector



1. Choose the Sector you want to review and then a KPI. The data appears in the table and chart to the right. "key KPIs" are used in the calculation and are shown in **Bold**.

CONTEXT

PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION

PRIVATE VEHICLES

MUNICIPAL BUILDINGS

COMMERCIAL BUILDINGS

RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS

STREET LIGHTING

POWER

INDUSTRY

POTABLE WATER

WASTEWATER


SOLID WASTE

2. The Cities with Checkboxes have key KPI and can be included in the calculation. If a city is Selected it is included for all key KPIs.

Selected	City	Value
<input type="checkbox"/>	Gaziantep	482.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	Toronto	339.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	Jakarta	331.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	New York	326.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	Singapore	240.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	Singapore	240.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	Kuala Lumpur	235.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	Mumbai	159.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	Cebu	157.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	Quezon City	149.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pune	146.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	Jabalpur	137.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	Indore	115.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	Danang, Vietnam	97.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	Barja Luka	69.74
<input type="checkbox"/>	Houston	59.00
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Belgrade	47.26
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Pristina	39.55
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sarajevo	33.76
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Tbilisi	28.32
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Skopje	27.31

Highlight Peers:

The same KPI is displayed but Peer Cities are highlighted. My City Value Peer high Peer Low



Municipal Buildings Electricity Consumption kWh/m2

Cities to Show: Show all Cities. Show all Cities with "Better" Show just Cities which contribute to Savings Calc. Show Energy Savings Potential

3. The Savings Potential results for Public Transportation are shown below based on the cities selected. There may be more than one key KPI. If so the values are averaged.

KPI	SavingsPotential
Municipal Buildings Electricity Consumption	40.27%
Municipal Buildings Savings Potential (%)	40.27%

For each KPI the difference between your city and selected "Better" cities with a lower energy use is calculated as a % potential for improvement. If there is more than one key KPI, an average is calculated.

Please note that you can override this calculation on the next screen.

Indore	Value	Unit
Climate Type	Continental	
HDI (by Country)	0.68	
Population Within Municipal Boundary	1,353,572.00	
Primary Electricity Consumption per Capita	2,691.00	
Primary Electricity Consumption per GDP	0.32	
Primary Energy Consumption per Capita	26.54	
Primary Energy Consumption per GDP	1.16	
Municipal Buildings Electricity Consumption	482.00	
Municipal Buildings Energy Spend as a % of	0.05	
Municipal Buildings Heat Consumption	2.20	

Beware of wrong conclusions as local peculiarities might skew results (e.g. down-hill flow of potable water might significantly reduce electricity consumption for pumps)

3. Sector prioritization

Purpose

- Sheds light on sector control and potential EE benefits for City Authority
- Weights energy efficiency potentials and savings
- Identifies energy spending hotspots and potential energy efficiency savings

Calculation

ERM SUSTAIN | SUCCÉ

6. Final Sector Selection

Review the overall score for each Sector and Choose whether to include it in the next

ESMAP Energy Sector Management Assistance Program

THE WORLD BANK

Hide Detail

Sector	1. Saving Potential (from previous step)			2. Expenditure		3. City Authority control over expenditure				Potential for the Sector		Sector Selection Consider Recommendations in this Sector	
	Saving Potential TRACE	Override	Value Used	Spend		KEY: National Stakeholder	Local Stakeholder	Local Committee	Multi-agency	Policy Formulator	Regulator/Enforcer		Budget Control
PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION	40%	40%	40%	150,154,907	City Wide	[Bar chart showing control distribution]				90%	54,055,768	1	Yes
PRIVATE VEHICLES	31%	20%	20%	1,588,710,433	City Wide	[Bar chart showing control distribution]				9%	15,887,104	3	Yes
MUNICIPAL BUILDINGS	40%	40%	40%	10,409,408	City Authority	[Bar chart showing control distribution]				15%	624,564	8	No
COMMERCIAL BUILDINGS				0	0	[Bar chart showing control distribution]							Yes
RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS				0	0	[Bar chart showing control distribution]				100%			Yes
STREET LIGHTING	44%		44%	17,634,494	City Authority	[Bar chart showing control distribution]				52%	4,004,160	5	Yes
POWER	31%		31%	1,048,458,978	City Wide	[Bar chart showing control distribution]				9%	16,089,646	2	Yes
POTABLE WATER	63%	13%	13%	55,808,520	City Wide	[Bar chart showing control distribution]				100%			Yes
WASTEWATER	42%	48%		4,605,568	City Wide	[Bar chart showing control distribution]					840,056	7	Yes

Relative Energy Intensity X Energy Spending X City Authority Control

Welcome Admin Resources MyCity Sectors SectorSavingsEstimate Sector Prioritization Recommendations Final Recommendation ...

4. Selection and fine-tuning of recommendations

Back Forward Info Activity 7. Enter Factors and Match Recommendation Show Steps Output

ERM SUSTAIN SUCCESS

7. Enter Factors and Match Recommendations

Match your City's characteristics to identify Energy Efficiency Recommendations in the chosen Sectors

PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION

PRIVATE VEHICLES

MUNICIPAL BUILDINGS

COMMERCIAL BUILDINGS

RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS

STREET LIGHTING

POWER

INDUSTRY

POTABLE WATER

WASTEWATER

CA MANAGEMENT

Sector	Category →	Finance	Human Resources	Data and Information	Policy regulation and Enforcement	Assets and Infrastructure
Public Transportation	My City Score	Medium	Medium	High	Low	Medium
<p>Review the statements on the table to the right, and select the one that most closely reflects your city using the right mouse button.</p> <p>Opportunities for this Sector are shown in the table below and they are matched with your city ranking in each of the categories.</p>	High	CA has relevant experience of some of the following: performance contracting, carbon finance and other innovative funding mechanisms	CA has access to considerable trained/technically proficient staff resources, including transport planners/modellers.	CA has reliable and accurate transport data and sound survey/monitoring systems. CA has transportation modelling capability.	CA responsible for all strategic transport planning/ urban structure planning. CA engages effectively with other agencies. CA has enforcement powers which it uses effectively.	City has reliable and effective public transport system. CA owns transport infrastructure and significant public transport vehicles. CA has not undertaken trials or feasibility work. CA owns significant land parcels along primary route corridors.
	Medium	CA has experience of: public private partnerships, some experience of other streams such as grants, soft loans and commercial funding	City Authority has access to a highly trained/skilled person to lead the initiative and/or a medium sized workforce available. Staff can be trained/workforce expanded as part of the City Authority.	Some reliable and accurate record keeping/data exists. Data management systems are relatively unsophisticated. his can be developed as part of the recommendation.	CA has freedom to regulate elements of the transport system. Enforcement is in need of strengthening.	CA owns components of the transportation infrastructure and public transport vehicle fleet. CA has undertaken feasibility work or trials in the past. Some land ownership along primary route corridors
	Low	Funding is available from Municipal funding streams only. CA has no experience of other financial or partnering mechanisms.	City Authority has few technically skilled staff and/or a small available workforce. Staff can be trained/workforce expanded as part of the recommendation.	Little reliable data on transport movements, modal share, numbers of vehicles, fuel types etc. No transportation planning/modelling capabilities. his can be developed as part of the recommendation.	CA is responsible for strategic transport planning or urban structure planning, but engagement with other agencies is weak. CA has limited capacity to regulate traffic/transport at the local level. Enforcement is weak.	Transport assets or infrastructure is either owned by others (national or private sector); maintained by others; minimal public transport alternatives; infrastructure non-existent or badly maintained. Public transport vehicles owned or run by others.

Recommendation Name	Fit	Finance	Human Resources	Data and Information	Enforcement	Assets and Infrastructure	Calculator	Include in Plan
Enforcement of Vehicle Emissions Standards	4	Low	Medium	Medium	Medium	Low	C.MT_EmissionsStds	Yes
Taxi Vehicle Replacement Program	4	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Low	C.MT_TaxiReplace	Yes
Traffic Flow Optimization	4	Low	Medium	High	Medium	Medium	C.MT_TrafficFlow	Yes
Non-Motorized Transport Modes	4	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium		Yes

Hide Matrix Show

Welcome Admin Resources MyCity Sectors SectorSavingsEstimate SectorPrioritization RecommendationAppraisal Recommendation ...

APPROACH IN THE BUILDING SECTOR
**EXPERIENCE FROM UKRAINE, INDIA, BRAZIL AND
KYRGYZ REPUBLIC**

Entry points for urban energy diagnostics

- Enhanced understanding of energy use challenges and potentials to inform future policy and urban planning processes or support the development of an urban sustainability agenda
- Identifying and prioritizing sectors with high energy efficiency potentials and quick returns to showcase viability of urban energy efficiency and improve service delivery to city dwellers
- Providing a set of implementable and tailored recommendations that can be used to develop an investment pipeline or inform the municipal investment plan
- Mainstreaming energy efficiency and sustainability into the institutional structure of the city, e.g. by a city-wide procurement policy

Experience from India and Ukraine

- Engagements in the three cities of Bhubaneswar, Cuttack and Puri in Odisha, India taught us that a tool needs to anticipate future urbanization growth and associated challenges such as increasing cooling demands and construction of residential buildings
- TRACE deployments in the cities Kiev, Ternopil and Kamianetsk-Podilskyi in Ukraine revealed enormous energy efficiency potentials in the public and residential building sector and highlighted need for sound national-level legislation (ESCO and HOA laws)

Experience from Brazil and Kyrgyz Republic

- In Kyrgyz Republic TRACE was used to inform municipal energy savings plans and select public buildings for piloting retrofits to significantly reduce electricity consumption, TRACE opened-up dialogue opportunities and revealed that capacity building was necessary for building sector stakeholders
- For Belo Horizonte in Brazil TRACE provided the city administration with an understanding of consumption patterns and helped them to subsequently introduce electricity consumption monitoring for public buildings and a Sustainable Building Certification Program for residential and commercial buildings

Lessons learnt from TRACE deployments

- Experience shows that ability of city administrations to facilitate changes (through policies or investments) varies across countries and even cities
- Because urban energy challenges can be very diverse even within the same country a broad range of measures (investment, non-investment) should be considered
- Usually the need for intervention is not limited to city-level but also requires central government to get involved (e.g. energy subsidies, budget codes, etc.)
- While TRACE provides analysis and intervention guidance another great feature is that it offers an opportunity for dialogue with a city and cross-departmental exchange
- Urbanization rates need to be taken into account

CHRISTIAN MAHLER

CMAHLER@WORLDBANK.ORG

Thank You.

The World Bank | 1818 H Street, NW | Washington DC, USA
www.esmap.com | esmap@worldbank.org

